



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/674,953

09/29/2003

Gregory D. Dietz

1792.001US1

5273

40064

7590

10/04/2007

LEMAIRE PATENT LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.

PO BOX 11358

ST PAUL, MN 55111

EXAMINER

JOHNSON, STEPHEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3641

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/04/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/674,953	Applicant(s) DIETZ, GREGORY D.	
	Examiner Stephen M. Johnson	Art Unit 3641	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen M. Johnson.

(3) John Nixon.

(2) Charles A. Lemaire.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 02 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1, 3, and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pohl, King, and Herter et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed amended was presented. Discussions of the newly proposed claim language were presented as the claim language reads with regard to the above prior art. The examiner suggested claim language that if added to claim 19 would read over the above prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stephen M. Johnson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required